

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/789,427	02/2	7/2004	Mahesh Chowdhary	ACCU.P0004	5701
	23349 Stattler Sub. D	7590	10/09/2007		EXAMINER	
	Stattler-Suh PC 60 SOUTH MARKET			•	FISHER, MICHAEL J	
	SUITE 480 SAN JOSE, C	A 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	5.11.1001, 0.				3629	
					MAN PATE	DELIVERY MODE
					MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				•	10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(a)					
			Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/789,427	CHOWDHARY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	T. 444 NO DATE 641	Michael J. Fisher	3629					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·		action is non-final.						
3)) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/789,427

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PAT 6,647,270 to Himmelstein.

As to claim 1, Himmelstein discloses a method of conducting business comprising:

Deploying an even detection module for acquiring vehicle data for a plurality of parameters on the vehicles in the fleet of the customer (fig 1, vehicles 16), generating event data when the vehicle is driven in an unsafe manner (col 12, lines 52-55).

Himmelstein does not, however, teach selling this information to the customer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Himmelstein by selling the information to the owner of the fleet as speeding can cause accidents and

Application/Control Number: 10/789,427

Art Unit: 3629

accidents can drive up insurance costs and therefore, the owner of the fleet could save money by tracking speeders.

As to claim 2, Himmelstein discloses using the Internet to disseminate information (col 8, lines 1-8), it would have been obvious to grant access to the customer as the customer would be paying for the information.

As to claim 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to send the data to the customer's server (if the customer had one) as the customer is paying for the service, and further, to allow the customer to transfer it to another server offering access so the drivers could see the data and know that they were being tracked.

As to claim 4, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a web page as this is a very well known way to disseminate information.

As to claim 5, the system generates a report (col 12, lines 52-55).

As to claim 6, the system generates a report, (col 12, lines 52-55), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the report driver specific to ensure that the proper driver is tagged with speeding.

As to claim 7, Himmelstein does not teach allowing the customer to define the parameter. As Himmelstein discloses tracking further data (col 1, lines 63-67), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the customer to choose the parameter as this would increase customer satisfaction.

As to claim 8, the data is transmitted in real time.

As to claim 9, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to receive a communication parameter (email address for instance) from the customer and sending the information according to that parameter to ensure the customer receives the data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Fisher whose telephone number is 571-272-6804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Fisher

Patent Examiner

GAU 3629

MF*V* 10/1/07